

Richard Van Duzer (State Bar No. 136205)
Ruth Ann Castro (State Bar No. 209448)
Farella Braun & Martel LLP
Russ Building
235 Montgomery Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 954-4400
Facsimile: (415) 954-4480

Attorneys for Plaintiff
CALIFORNIA WINE COMPANY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CALIFORNIA WINE COMPANY, a
California corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

KNIGHTSBRIDGE FINE WINES, INC., a
Nevada corporation, and DOES 1 through
10,

Defendants.

Case No. C-04-01902-EDL

STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~
ORDER RE PRETRIAL SCHEDULE

WHEREAS, following the initial Case Management Conference held in this case on March 29, 2005, the Court presented a pre-trial schedule providing that the last day to add new parties was June 1, 2005;

WHEREAS, the parties have conferred and have agreed to extend the time by which Defendant Knightsbridge Fine Wines, Inc. must respond to outstanding discovery to June 2, 2005;

WHEREAS, defendant's response to the outstanding discovery may impact Plaintiff California Wine Company's decision to add new parties;

THEREFORE, the parties, through their counsel, agree to modify the pre-trial schedule with respect to the last day to add new parties, and extend that date to June 9, 2005.

1 STIPULATED AND SO AGREED:

2 I attest that concurrence in the filing of the above Stipulation has been obtained from
3 counsel for Knightsbridge Wines, Inc.

4 DATED: May 31, 2005

FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL LLP

6 By: _____/s/
Ruth Ann Castro
Attorneys for Plaintiff
CALIFORNIA WINE COMPANY

10 DATED: May 31, 2005

GAW VANMALE SMITH MYERS &
MIROGLIO

13 By: _____/s/
Bruce A. Miroglio
Attorneys for Defendant
KNIGHTSBRIDGE FINE WINES, INC.

16 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: June 2, 2005 _____

19 HON. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
20 ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE

